



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/808,247

03/25/2004

Il-joong Jeon

46311

4207

1609

7590

05/16/2007

ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P.

1300 19TH STREET, N.W.

SUITE 600

WASHINGTON,, DC 20036

EXAMINER

WANG, KENT F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2609

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/16/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/808,247

Applicant(s)

JEON, IL-JOONG

Examiner

Kent Wang

Art Unit

2609

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The reference listed on the disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/25/2004, 08/05/2004, 09/22/2004, 02/10/2006, and 03/05/2007 have being considered by the examiner (see attached PTO 1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Noro, US 2002/0135677.

Regarding claim 1, Noro discloses a method of setting a web camera mode for a portable composite device (i.e. camera management devices 12 and

14) having an interface connectable (i.e. an interface 36) with a personal computer and a zoom lens, the method comprising:

- determining whether the present mode is a web camera mode in which the personal computer is connected to the interface and the device is used as a web camera (e.g. the operation manager 48 detects the already connected camera) (see [0084] and step S11 of figure 9); and
- setting the zoom lens to a wide-angle mode on the basis of a preset value (e.g. the camera console window 60 has pan button 62 and 64 for instructing the direction and a home button 70 for returning to a predetermined position) if the present mode is in the web camera mode (see [0072]).

Regarding claim 2, Noro discloses a method further comprising: providing an image signal corresponding to an image acquired by the zoom lens set to the wide-angle mode to the personal computer through the interface (e.g. the camera 16 is instructed via the camera interface 36 to have the target pan and tilt angles and zoom ratio read from the camera 16 via the interface 36 and compared with the target values; see [0099] and [0100]).

Regarding claim 8, Noro discloses the method further comprising: releasing a setting of the wide-angle mode if the personal computer is disconnected from the interface (e.g. if none of cameras are connected, the flow advances to ending the processing) (see [0084] and figure 9).

Art Unit: 2609

5. Claims 10, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hata, US 2001/0017653.

Regarding claim 10, Hata discloses a portable composite device comprising:

- an image acquisition unit (i.e. an image capturing section 11) for performing a photoelectric conversion of an optical image taken through a zoom lens and outputting a corresponding electric signal (see [0023] and figure 2);
- an NTSC/PAL decoder (i.e. digital video decoder 16) for converting a standard television signal into digital data to output the digital data (see [0027] and figure 2);
- a storage medium (i.e. a storage section 22) for storing the digital data (see [0036] and figure 2);
- an NTSC/PAL encoder (i.e. DV encoder 12) for converting an input digital data into a standard television signal to output the television signal (see [0032] and figure 2);
- a control unit (i.e. control section 13) for converting the electric signal output from the image pickup unit into digital data, compressing and storing in the storage medium the converted digital data and the data output from the NTSC/PAL decoder, and generating a mode selection signal for selecting either the data stored in the storage medium or the digital data corresponding to the electric signal outputted from the

Art Unit: 2609

image pickup unit (e.g. determined in step S4 that the moving image data for which transmission has been requested is recorded moving image data, the process proceeds to step S9. In step S9, the recording and playback section 14 plays back the CV data recorded in the DV cassette 14 plays back the DV data recorded in the DV cassette tape 15 and outputs it to the IP packet assembling/disassembling section 19 under the control of the control section 13) (see [0034] and figure 3); and

- a switching unit (i.e. server processing section 21) for switching and transmitting either the digital data stored in the storage medium or the digital data corresponding to the electric signal, to a serial port through a serial interface, in response to the mode selection signal (e.g. determines whether the moving image data for which transmission has been requested is real-time moving image data or recorded moving image data; see [0031] and S4 of figure 3).

Regarding claim 14, Hata discloses the switching unit (21) outputs the digital data stored in the storage medium (22) to the serial port through the serial interface (e.g. IEEE 1394 interface 20) when the mode control signal is in a first logic level (e.g. recorded image read from DV cassette tape), and outputs the digital data corresponding to the electric signal to the serial port through the serial interface (20) when the mode control signal is in a second logic level (e.g. real-time image from capture image) (see [0031], [0034] and figure 3).

Regarding claim 15, Hata discloses the storage medium is a hard disc drive (i.e. hard disk 102) (see [0051]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noro in view of Takeuchi, US 2003/0112342.

Regarding claim 3, Noro disclose the step of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode.

Noro does not does not explicitly disclose the step of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode comprises setting a color temperature of the image signal to a specified color temperature.

Takeuchi discloses the step of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode comprises setting a color temperature of the image signal to a specified (e.g. preset) color temperature (e.g. basis of reference control value as preset white balance control value) (see [0089]).

Noro and Takeuchi are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode. At the time of

Art Unit: 2609

the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art to use Takeuchi's preset color temperature in Noro's method of setting a color temperature. The suggestion/motivation would have been to obtain the results of picking up an achromatic object by a reference digital camera with light sources having different color temperatures ([0089]), thereby when the reference image data obtained by picking up a light source having an arbitrarily set and fixed color temperature by the reference digital camera ([0089]).

Regarding claim 4, Takeuchi discloses the step of setting the color temperature comprises:

- calculating a color temperature difference between the preset color temperature (i.e. reference image data d220) and a color temperature of the image signal (i.e. adjustment image data d221); and
- compensating for the preset color temperature (i.e. preset white balance control values) according to the calculated color temperature difference (e.g. calculate control values d213(1) to d213(N) by executing calculation processing) (see [0089] and figure 2A).

8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noro in view of Yoneyama, US 5,570,235.

Regarding claim 5, Noro disclose the step of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode.

Noro does not does not explicitly disclose the step of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode comprises driving the zoom lens in the wide-angle mode by adjusting a focal distance of the zoom lens.

Yoneyama discloses the step of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode comprises driving the zoom lens in the wide-angle mode by adjusting a focal distance of the zoom lens (col. 5, lines 60-67).

Noro and Yoneyama are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art to use Yoneyama's focal distance adjustment in Noro's method of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode. The suggestion/motivation would have been to optimize the focal distance values, thereby satisfies the relationship in connection with the focal length of the entire lens system at wide-angle extremity (col. 5, lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 6, Yoneyama discloses the step of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode further comprises setting the focal distance of the zoom lens to a specified distance (e.g. an optimum value) (see col. 5, lines 63-67).

9. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noro in view of Yoshikawa, US 2001/0040638.

Regarding claim 7, Noro disclose the step of setting the zoom lens to the wide-angle mode.

Noro does not does not explicitly disclose the step of setting the focal distance to the specified distance comprises: calculating a distance difference between the zoom lens and an object based on a preset value.

Yoshikawa discloses the step of setting the focal distance to the specified distance comprises calculating a distance difference between the zoom lens (i.e. zoom lens optical system 9) and an object based on a preset value (i.e. preset value "B"); and compensating for the focal distance of the zoom lens according to the calculated distance difference (e.g. CPU 6 multiplies the preset value calculated in step 401 [0073]; and CPU 6 sets and holds the calculated preset value [0074]).

Noro and Yoshikawa are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of setting the focal distance to the specified distance. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art to use Yoshikawa's focal distance calculation in Noro's method of setting the focal distance to the specified distance. The suggestion/motivation would have been when the zoom switch is operated toward the telephoto direction during preset drive control operation the preset value can be changed to the high-velocity side by an amount proportional to the operation amount, thereby when the zoom switch is operated toward the wide-angle direction the preset value can be changed to the low-velocity side ([0075]).

Art Unit: 2609

10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noro in view of Hata, US 2001/0017653.

Regarding claim 9, Noro disclose the method of setting a web camera mode for a portable composite device.

Noro does not does not explicitly disclose the determining step comprises determining whether the portable composite device is used in a mass storage mode for setting the device to a mobile storage device.

Hata discloses the step the determining step comprises:

- determining (i.e. step S4 of figure 3) whether the portable composite device (i.e. digital video camera 1) is used in a mass storage mode (step S9 of figure 3) for setting the device to a mobile storage device (i.e. read from DV cassette tape 15); and
- transmitting video/audio data stored in the portable composite device to the personal computer through the interface (i.e. IEEE 1394 interface 20) if the device is used in the mass storage mode (see [0031], [0034] and figure 3).

Noro and Hata are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of setting a camera mode for a portable composite device. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art to use Hata's setting mode in Noro's method of setting a camera mode for a portable composite device. The suggestion/motivation would have been to enable the recording and playback section 14 plays back the digital video data

Art Unit: 2609

recorded in the cassette tape 15 and outputs it to the IP packet assembling and disassembling section 19 under the control of the control section 13 ([0034]).

11. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata in view of Yoshikawa, US 2001/00400638.

Regarding claim 11, Hata disclose a portable composite device comprises a control unit.

Hata does not does not explicitly disclose a control unit sets a position of the zoom lens included in the image pickup unit to a wide-angle mode on the basis of a preset value in response to an external control signal.

Yoshikawa discloses the control unit (i.e. CPU 6) sets a position of the zoom lens (i.e. initial setting, step 201) included in the image pickup unit to a wide-angle mode on the basis of a preset value (i.e. preset value "B") in response to an external control signal (see [0060]).

Hata and Yoshikawa are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of setting a camera mode for a portable composite device. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art to use Yoshikawa's control unit in Hata's image capturing apparatus. The suggestion/motivation would have been to generate a predetermined zoom driving velocity such as a maximum velocity and a preset zoom driving direction such as a wide-angle direction which is stored in the memory unit ([0060]).

12. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata in view of Takeuchi, US 2003/0112342.

Regarding claim 12, Hata disclose a portable composite device comprises a control unit.

Hata does not does not explicitly disclose a control unit makes the digital data corresponding to the electric signal have a preset color temperature value in response to the external control signal.

Takeuchi discloses a control unit (i.e. AWB calculating device 220) makes the digital data corresponding to the electric signal have a preset color temperature value (e.g. reference preset white balance control values) in response to the external control signal(e.g. adjustment calculating device 241(1) to 241(N)) (see [0080], [0081], and figure 2A).

Hata and Takeuchi are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of setting a camera mode for a portable composite device. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art to use Takeuchi's control unit in Hata's image capturing apparatus. The suggestion/motivation would have been to enable the calculation of a plurality of control values used for white balance control processing, thereby to control values for color components corresponding to color temperature ([0080]).

Art Unit: 2609

13. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata in view of Takeuchi as applied to claim 12, and further in view of Sugiki, US 2003/0063197.

Regarding claim 13, Hata disclose a control unit for converting the electric signal output from the image pickup unit into digital data wherein the control unit makes the digital data corresponding to the electric signal have a preset color temperature value in response to the external control signal.

Hata does not does not explicitly disclose the color temperature value is at or about 4500 degree K.

Sugiki discloses a preset color temperature value in response to the external control signal is at or about 4500 degree K (see [0130]).

Hata and Sugiki are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of method of obtaining white balance and image sensing apparatus. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art to use Sugiki's preset color temperature value in Hata's image capturing apparatus. The suggestion/motivation would have been to define the coordinates of an estimated illumination light color, thus R, G, and B signals having the same level are obtained with respect to a white object illuminated with a white light source within a predetermined color temperature range (see [0130] and [0131]).

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Watkins (US 6,859,609) discloses a method and apparatus for recording digital video and/or audio signals include input audio and video interfaces, a memory, a video frame selector, and output audio and video interfaces.
- Novak (US 2002/0141658) discloses a system and method for a software steerable web camera that can support a wide-angle lens.
- Ohta (US 6,621,521) disclose an automatic focusing device for a film scanner, by which the imaging lens is quickly focused on any photographic image without being adversely affected by the image pattern.

Inquiries

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kent Wang whose telephone number is 571-270-1703. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chanh Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-8300.

Art Unit: 2609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kent Wang

11 May 2007


CHANH D. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER